

4-14-2022

I am against the building of the ADA access in the current proposed location. I think the village can find another access that will not impact the structures of the dunes as much.

Nicole Mohler

4-16-2022

Hello,

My husband and I are homeowners of 218 Stede Bonnet Wynd and we do not approve of the proposed site for the ADA beach access and large parking lot location. We do not approve of the disturbance to the natural beauty of the beach dunes.

Karen and Dave Stuver

4-16-2022

I disapprove of the location chosen for the ADA access and parking lot.

Karen Hoeve  
104 West Bald Head Wynd

4-16-2022

To whom it may concern,

Please do not disrupt the existing dune ridge for the new handicapped access between access #15 and #17. That dune saved the neighborhood from the storm surge during the last hurricane. The surge occurred further down by the BHI club where the dune is smaller.

Why not covert an existing access to a handicapped accessible site?The town owns two contiguous lots next to #17. Reconfiguring that access would be much less disruptive.

Thank you for your consideration.  
Khoa Nguyen  
Owner - 320 S Bald Head Wynd

4-16-2022

To whom it may concern,

Please do not disrupt the existing dune ridge for the new handicapped access between access #15 and #17. That dune saved the neighborhood from the storm surge during the last hurricane. The surge occurred further down by the BHI club where the dune is smaller.

Why not covert an existing access to a handicapped accessible site?The town owns two contiguous lots next to #17. Reconfiguring that access would be much less disruptive.

Thank you for your consideration.  
Jennifer Nguyen  
Owner - 320 S Bald Head Wynd

4-16-2022

As the homeowner at 320 South Bald Wynd we just recently heard of the proposal for a new ADA beach access between the existing access's 15 and 17. We would like to express our disapproval of the location for multiple reasons the two most important being destruction of the dunes and the creation of another site of possible flooding from a tidal surge. There would now be 3 access points in less than half a mile. The flooding that occurred during our last devastating hurricane ruined several home along our street. A new access would further create the potential for even more damage.

The whole point of living at Bald Head is allowing natural preservation of the beauty not only of the beach but the dunes as well.

A more reasonable approach would be to utilize the existing #17 access adjacent to which the town already owns the property and no new dune damage would be required.

Thank you for allowing us to comment.

George Piros  
320 South Bald Wynd

4-16-2022

I strongly disagree with the current proposed location of the ADA access plan. Thank you

Bob Keiger  
4 Leopard Frog Court

4-16-2022

We as members are not happy with the choice for the ADA beach access location and the large proposed parking lot. We encourage you to look at other options.

Thank you,  
Natalie and Mitch Boyd 129 WBHW

4-17-2022

I am writing to express my disapproval of the location chosen for the ADA access and the inappropriate mass parking lot. We have an existing lot/space at beach access 24 A/B that can be updated to comply with ample ADA accessibility and non-excessive parking. This access remains the most reasonable choice.

We need to preserve the dune structure and the last pristine stretch of dune on the island. The proposed lots being discussed as the new handicap access would further destroy the beauty and nature of the island. These lots have been designated non-buildable for good reason. The common sense and affordable site at Access 24 A/B.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,  
Robert Morrison  
22 Keepers Landing

Please further consider the selection of

Robert & Anette Morrison

**Sandwich Club**  
435 S Tryon St  
Charlotte, NC 28202  
*sandwichclub2go.com*

4-18-2022

I want to add my two cents regarding the handicap access proposed for SBHW. As you know it was handicap accessible until it was rebuilt last year and they replaced the ramp with stairs. Can't we just rebuild the access with ramps? Why cut the dune? If a home cannot be built on the site, why should anything be built? The protection of the dune was put in place for a reason. Also, parking for 23 carts on an already overburden SBHW is not the wisest choice.

Perhaps there is a better option?

Appreciatively,

**Kathy Newman**  
Kathy Newman  
Life with Cancer  
8411 Pennell Street  
Fairfax, VA 22031  
C) 703.785-8050  
[LobsterExtravaganza.org](http://LobsterExtravaganza.org)

4-18-2022

We would like to take this opportunity to respectfully oppose the proposed ADA project at Lot 1319. We believe it is unnecessary to build such a large and expensive structure that will require persons with disabilities to climb a total of 28 feet to access and return from the beach over 9 feet of dunes. The main purpose of such a project is to help people with disabilities access the beach conveniently and safely. Oftentimes, a person with a disability will have someone assist them but others may have to access the beach under their own power, by using a wheelchair or walker.

In addition, such a large structure will require regular maintenance and may be compromised by severe storm surges. Damage or erosion to the dune under the structure may be very difficult to repair to its original condition.

Alternatively, we believe there is an existing public access point on Peppervine Trail (Access 24B) that is relatively close to Lot 1319 and has more attributes to serve as an ADA access point, such as:

1. A wide access trail is already present at this location and would likely require significantly less construction effort, materials, maintenance, and funds to complete the project.
2. Much of the existing trail is flat or has a very mild slope and would only need to clear a few (2-4) feet of the dune. Such a minimal slope would make access much easier and safer and require less maintenance.
3. There is already a low-lying, large gap at the dune location where disabled persons would access the beach, thus requiring very little if any disturbance of the dune.

Regarding the proposal to create parking for 20 carts, we would ask that you consider a smaller parking area to be more consistent with other less-obtrusive public access areas.

Thank you for your consideration.  
Keith and Maria Earnshaw  
Residents of Timbercreek 1A